

QCBS, QBS, FBS, and LCS Contract Award Notice

Romania

Project: P090309 - Judicial Reform

Contract Reference No: CS-4-10-3

Scope of Contract: THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE NETWORK OF TRAINERS TEACHING TECHNIQUES IN LONG DISTANCE TRAINING (E-LEARNING) WITHIN NATIONAL SCHOOL OF CLERKS

Method of Selection: QCBS - Quality And Cost-Based Selection

Duration of Contract: 7 Month(s)

Contract Signature Date: 05-Sep-2013

Evaluation Currency: EUR - Euro

Full Official Text of the Notice

Romania

Project: P090309 - Judicial Reform

Contract Reference No: CS-4-10-3

Scope of Contract: Consulting Services for "The Development of the Network of Trainers Teaching Techniques in Long Distance Training (E-Learning) within National School of Clerks"

Method of Selection: QCBS - Quality And Cost-Based Selection

Duration of Contract: 7 Month(s)

Contract Signature Date: 05-Sept-2013

Evaluation Currency: EUR - Euro

Awarded Consultant(s)/Firm:

Name: Common Sense - E-learning & Training Consultants GmbH, Austria

Address:

Technical Score: 84.32

Financial Score: 100.00

Final Evaluation Price: EUR 135,287.50

Final Score: 86.456

Final Ranking: 1

Final Negotiated Price: EUR 135,287.50

Evaluated Consultant(s)/Firm:

Name: JV: Metodo Estudios Consultores SI, Spain; SC Eurobestteam SRL, Romania

Address:

Technical Score: 79.78

Financial Score: 66.33

Final Evaluation Price: EUR 203,950.00

Final Score: 77.09

Final Ranking: 2

Rejected Consultant(s)/Firm:

Name: JV: IMC Information Communication AG, Germany; EURO In Consulting SA, Romania

Address:

Technical Score: 72.96

Financial Score: 0.00

Final Evaluation Price: EUR 0.00

Final Score: 0.00

Final Ranking: 3

Reason for Rejection: Non Responsive

Name: B&S Europe SA, Belgium

Address:

Technical Score: 69.46

Financial Score: 0.00

Final Evaluation Price: EUR 0.00

Final Score: 0.00

Final Ranking: 4

Reason for Rejection: Non Responsive

Name: Education Management Europe, Belgium

Address:

Technical Score: 61.16

Financial Score: 0.00

Final Evaluation Price: EUR 0.00

Final Score: 0.00

Final Ranking: 5

Reason for Rejection: Non Responsive

Any consultant who wishes to ascertain the grounds on which its proposal was not selected, should request an explanation from the Borrower.